

and

$$\psi(x + y) = \psi(x) + \psi(y)$$

follow easily from the definition of  $\psi$  on  $F$  and from the fact that  $\psi$  is the identity on  $D$ .

If  $\psi(a /_F b) = \psi(c /_F d)$ , we have

$$\psi(a) /_L \psi(b) = \psi(c) /_L \psi(d)$$

so

$$\psi(a)\psi(d) = \psi(b)\psi(c).$$

Since  $\psi$  is the identity on  $D$ , we then deduce that  $ad = bc$ , so  $a /_F b = c /_F d$ . Thus  $\psi$  is one to one.

By definition,  $\psi(a) = a$  for  $a \in D$ . ◆

**21.8 Corollary** Every field  $L$  containing an integral domain  $D$  contains a field of quotients of  $D$ .

*Proof* In the proof of Theorem 21.6 every element of the subfield  $\psi[F]$  of  $L$  is a quotient in  $L$  of elements of  $D$ . ◆

**21.9 Corollary** Any two fields of quotients of an integral domain  $D$  are isomorphic.

*Proof* Suppose in Theorem 21.6 that  $L$  is a field of quotients of  $D$ , so that every element  $x$  of  $L$  can be expressed in the form  $a /_L b$  for  $a, b \in D$ . Then  $L$  is the field  $\psi[F]$  of the proof of Theorem 21.6 and is thus isomorphic to  $F$ . ◆

## ■ EXERCISES 21

### Computations

1. Describe the field  $F$  of quotients of the integral subdomain

$$D = \{n + mi \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of  $\mathbb{C}$ . “Describe” means give the elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  that make up the field of quotients of  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . (The elements of  $D$  are the **Gaussian integers**.)

2. Describe (in the sense of Exercise 1) the field  $F$  of quotients of the integral subdomain  $D = \{n + m\sqrt{2} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Concepts

3. Correct the definition of the italicized term without reference to the text, if correction is needed, so that it is in a form acceptable for publication.

*A field of quotients* of an integral domain  $D$  is a field  $F$  in which  $D$  can be embedded so that every nonzero element of  $D$  is a unit in  $F$ .

4. Mark each of the following true or false.
- \_\_\_\_\_ a.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field of quotients of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ b.  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field of quotients of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ c.  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field of quotients of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ d.  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field of quotients of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ e. If  $D$  is a field, then any field of quotients of  $D$  is isomorphic to  $D$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ f. The fact that  $D$  has no divisors of 0 was used strongly several times in the construction of a field  $F$  of quotients of the integral domain  $D$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ g. Every element of an integral domain  $D$  is a unit in a field  $F$  of quotients of  $D$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ h. Every nonzero element of an integral domain  $D$  is a unit in a field  $F$  of quotients of  $D$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ i. A field of quotients  $F'$  of a subdomain  $D'$  of an integral domain  $D$  can be regarded as a subfield of some field of quotients of  $D$ .
  - \_\_\_\_\_ j. Every field of quotients of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
5. Show by an example that a field  $F'$  of quotients of a proper subdomain  $D'$  of an integral domain  $D$  may also be a field of quotients for  $D$ .

### Theory

- 6. Prove Part 2 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 7. Prove Part 3 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 8. Prove Part 4 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 9. Prove Part 5 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 10. Prove Part 6 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 11. Prove Part 7 of Step 3. You may assume any preceding part of Step 3.
- 12. Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring, and let  $T$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$  closed under multiplication and containing neither 0 nor divisors of 0. Starting with  $R \times T$  and otherwise exactly following the construction in this section, we can show that the ring  $R$  can be enlarged to a *partial ring of quotients*  $Q(R, T)$ . Think about this for 15 minutes or so; look back over the construction and see why things still work. In particular, show the following:
  - a.  $Q(R, T)$  has unity even if  $R$  does not.
  - b. In  $Q(R, T)$ , every nonzero element of  $T$  is a unit.
- 13. Prove from Exercise 12 that every nonzero commutative ring containing an element  $a$  that is not a divisor of 0 can be enlarged to a commutative ring with unity. Compare with Exercise 30 of Section 19.
- 14. With reference to Exercise 12, how many elements are there in the ring  $Q(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{1, 3\})$ ?
- 15. With reference to Exercise 12, describe the ring  $Q(\mathbb{Z}, \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\})$ , by describing a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  to which it is isomorphic.
- 16. With reference to Exercise 12, describe the ring  $Q(3\mathbb{Z}, \{6^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\})$  by describing a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  to which it is isomorphic.
- 17. With reference to Exercise 12, suppose we drop the condition that  $T$  have no divisors of zero and just require that nonempty  $T$  not containing 0 be closed under multiplication. The attempt to enlarge  $R$  to a commutative ring with unity in which every nonzero element of  $T$  is a unit must fail if  $T$  contains an element  $a$  that is a divisor of 0, for a divisor of 0 cannot also be a unit. Try to discover where a construction parallel to that in the text but starting with  $R \times T$  first runs into trouble. In particular, for  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $T = \{1, 2, 4\}$ , illustrate the first difficulty encountered. [*Hint:* It is in Step 1.]